

# A couple must-know cohomology calculations

Kimball Strong

We will follow roughly the path used in “Algebraic Topology” by Tammo tom Dieck (my personal favorite Atop reference) in order to calculate the cohomology rings of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ . The calculation there takes place in sections 17.8-17.9; the primary ingredient is the Thom Isomorphism Theorem. Hatcher was also referenced (mainly to go from  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients to  $\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients for  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ ), as well as online notes by Will Merry (mainly for the orientation bit).

By  $H^*$  we mean singular cohomology with coefficients in a ring  $R$ . All modules will be left modules. Results are proved over compact/finite dimensional  $CW$  complexes to save me some effort.

## 1 The Leray-Hirsch Theorem

**Definition 1.1.** A *relative fibration* of the pair  $(E, E')$  over  $B$  is a map  $p : E \rightarrow B$  such that both  $p$  and  $p|_{E'}$  are fibrations. We will write  $p'$  for  $p|_{E'}$ , and write  $F$  and  $F'$  for the fibers over the base point  $* \in B$  of  $p$  and  $p'$ , respectively (and  $i$  and  $i'$  for their respective inclusions). We do not require that  $E'$  be nonempty.

Consider a relative fibration  $(F, F') \xrightarrow{(i, i')} (E, E') \xrightarrow{(p, p')} B$ . Given an element  $c \in H^*(E, E')$  and an element  $b \in H^*(B)$ , we can take the cup product  $p^*(b) \smile c$ . This endows  $H^*(E, E')$  with the structure of an  $H^*(B)$  module. We can add the structure of an  $H^*(B)$  module to  $H^*(F, F')$  by tensoring to form  $H^*(B) \otimes H^*(F, F')$ . While we in general have no map between the two, if the map  $i^*$  is surjective and  $H^n(F, F')$  is free for each  $n$ , then we can define a map in the following manner: let  $\{c_j\}$  be a set of elements of  $H^*(E, E')$  such that  $\{i^*(c_j)\}$  is a basis for  $H^*(F, F')$  (as an  $R$ -module). Then the partially defined map

$$L : H^*(B) \otimes H^*(F, F') \rightarrow H^*(E, E')$$

given by  $1 \otimes i^*(c_j) \mapsto c_j$  extends uniquely to a map of  $H^*(B)$  modules.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(F, F') \xrightarrow{(i, i')} (E, E') \xrightarrow{(p, p')} B$  be a relative fibration over a connected, finite dimensional  $CW$  complex. Suppose that there are classes  $c_j \in H^*(E, E')$  such that  $\{i_x^*(c_j)\}$  freely generate  $H^*(F_x, F'_x)$  for each  $x \in B$  (as an  $R$  module). Then the map  $L : H^*(B) \otimes H^*(F, F') \rightarrow H^*(E, E')$  described above is an isomorphism of graded  $R$ -modules.

Note as a consequence that the elements  $\{c_j\}$  are a basis for  $H^*(E, E')$  as an  $H^*(B)$  module (so it is free).

*Proof.* Note that for a subspace  $A \subset B$ , we obtain a restricted relative fibration

$$(F, F') \xrightarrow{(i, i')} (E|A, E'|A) \xrightarrow{(p, p')} A$$

and an associated module map  $L_A : H^*(A) \otimes H^*(F, F') \rightarrow H^*(E|A, E'|A)$ , where we use the restrictions of  $c_j$  to  $H^*(E|A, E'|A)$ . In the case  $A = B^0 = \{*\}$ , this reduces to

$$L_{B^0} : R \otimes H^*(F, F') \rightarrow H^*(F, F')$$

Which is just the isomorphism  $1 \otimes c \mapsto 1 \smile c = c$ . More generally, for  $B^0 = \coprod_I e_i^0$ , the map is

$$L_{B^0} : \left( \prod_I R \right) \otimes H^*(F, F') \rightarrow \prod_I H^*(E|e_i^0, E'|e_i^0)$$

Which because  $H^*(F, F')$  is finitely generated and free, reduces to the isomorphism

$$\prod_i L_{e_i^0} : \prod_I (R \otimes H^*(F, F')) \rightarrow \prod_I H^*(E|e_i^0, E'|e_i^0)$$

We will now proceed by induction on the skeleta  $B^n$  of  $B$ , with  $n = 0$  as our base case: assume that the isomorphism holds for the fibration restricted to  $B^{n-1}$ . Let  $U$  be the subspace of  $B^n$  obtained by deleting a single point from each  $n$ -cell of  $B^n$  - then  $U$  is homotopy equivalent to  $B^{n-1}$ . Let  $V$  be the subspace of  $B^n$  consisting of the interiors of all the  $n$ -cells. Then we obtain MV sequences, which give us a big commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(U \cup V) \otimes H^*(F, F') & \longrightarrow & H^*(U) \otimes H^*(F, F') \oplus H^*(V) \otimes H^*(F, F') & \longrightarrow & H^*(U \cap V) \otimes H^*(F, F') \\ \downarrow L_{U \cup V} & & \downarrow L_U \oplus L_V & & \downarrow L_{U \cap V} \\ H^*(p^{-1}(U \cup V)) & \longrightarrow & (H^*(p^{-1}(U)) \oplus H^*(p^{-1}(V))) & \longrightarrow & H^*(p^{-1}(U \cap V)) \end{array}$$

The bottom row is exact because it is a MV sequence, and the top row is exact because  $H^*(F, F')$  is free, so tensoring with it preserves exactness. Once we show that  $L_U, L_V$ , and  $L_{U \cap V}$  are isomorphisms, we can invoke the five lemma to conclude that  $L_{U \cup V} = L_{B^n}$  is an isomorphism, which will complete our inductive step.

For  $L_U$ : because  $U$  retracts to  $B^{n-1}$ , this follows from the induction hypothesis.

For  $L_V$ : Because  $V = \coprod_I e_i^n$  and the  $e_i^n$  are contractible, this follows in the same way as the base case (although now we have that  $(F, F') \rightarrow (E|e_i^n, E'|e_i^n)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence rather than simply being equal).

For  $L_{U \cap V}$ :  $U \cap V$  deformation retracts to  $\coprod_I \partial e_i^n$ , a disjoint union of  $(n - 1)$ -spheres. By the induction hypothesis, the isomorphism holds over any single cell boundary. Using the same techniques as in the  $L_V$  case, it holds over their disjoint union.  $\square$

## 2 Thom Classes and the Gysin Sequence

As a special case, consider a vector bundle of dimension  $n$  over a finite dimensional CW complex,  $p : E \rightarrow B$ . Let  $E^0 = E \setminus B$  (considering  $B$  as the 0 section of  $E$ ). Then  $(E, E^0) \rightarrow B$  is a relative fibration with fiber  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . In this case, the cohomology of the fiber is relatively simple, being  $R$  in degrees 0 and  $n$ . Consequently, given only a single cohomology class  $t \in H^n(E, E^0)$  such that its restriction to any fiber is a generator, we obtain as a consequence of the Leray-Hirsch Theorem that the map  $H^k(B) \rightarrow H^{n+k}(E, E^0)$  given by  $b \mapsto p^*(b) \smile t$  is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq k$ . Even more,  $p$  is a homotopy equivalence. This allows us to take the long exact sequence for the pair  $(E, E^0)$  and replace  $H^k(E)$  with  $H^k(B)$ , and  $H^k(E, E^0)$  with  $H^{k-n}(B)$ . This gives us the *Gysin sequence* for the bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$ :

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{k-1}(E^0) \longrightarrow H^{k-n}(B) \longrightarrow H^k(B) \longrightarrow H^k(E^0) \longrightarrow \dots$$

From the definitions, we get that the map  $H^{k-n}(B) \rightarrow H^k(B)$  is given by  $b \mapsto b \smile (p^*)^{-1}(t)$ . The element  $(p^*)^{-1}(t)$  we denote by  $e$  and call the *Euler class*. The element  $t$  is called a *Thom class*.

At this point, the main ingredient we are missing is an understanding of when a Thom class exists for a cohomology theory. For the rest of this section, we will focus mainly on singular cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients, and so  $H^*$  will mean singular cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

An orientation for a real vector space  $V$  is an equivalence class of ordered bases; two ordered bases  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  are equivalent iff the map  $v_i \mapsto w_i$  has positive determinant. A *cohomological orientation* of the vector space  $V$  we will define as a generator of  $H^n(V, V \setminus \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that an ordinary orientation determines a cohomological orientation in the following way: fix a generator  $\gamma_n \in H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Then a basis  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$  determines a homeomorphism  $f_B : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $v_i \mapsto e_i$ . Then  $f_B^*(\gamma_n)$  is a generator for  $H^n(V, V \setminus \{0\})$ . We denote it  $\gamma_B$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Two bases  $B$  and  $B'$  are the same orientation if and only if  $\gamma_B = \gamma_{B'}$*

*Proof.* Firstly, suppose that  $B$  and  $B'$  are the same orientation. Then the change of basis map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  taking  $B$  to  $B'$  has positive determinant, and is therefore homotopic to the identity map (since  $GL_n(V)$  has two connected components). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & & \mathbb{R}^n \\ \downarrow T & \searrow f_B & \nearrow \\ V & & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \nearrow f_{B'} & \end{array}$$

Since  $T^*$  is the identity map, we have that  $\gamma_B = \gamma_{B'}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $B$  and  $B'$  are opposite orientations. We want to show that  $\gamma_B \neq \gamma_{B'}$ ; by the last paragraph it suffices to show that there exist two bases of opposite orientation  $D$  and  $D'$  such that  $\gamma_D \neq \gamma_{D'}$ . Up till now we have not required  $\gamma_n$  to be represented by anything in particular; now let it be represented as the dual of the  $n$ -simplex such that the  $i$ th vertex  $q_i$  is equal to the  $i$ th standard basis element  $e_i$  for  $i > 0$ , and  $q_0 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum e_i$ . Let  $D$  be any ordered basis and  $D'$  the basis obtained by swapping the first two vectors of  $D$ . Let  $S$  be the change of basis map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which swaps  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Then the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \nearrow f_D & \downarrow S \\ V & & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \searrow f_{D'} & \end{array}$$

Since  $S^* : H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is negation, we get that  $\gamma_D = -\gamma_{D'}$ . □

To carry this over to the case of vector bundles, consider a trivial vector bundle  $U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U$ . The projection  $\pi : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  yields a class  $\pi^*(\gamma_n) \in H^n(U \times \mathbb{R}^n, U \times \{0\})$ . For any point  $x \in U$ , we have an inclusion  $\iota_x : \{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and see that  $\iota_x^*(\pi^*(\gamma_n))$  is a generator. Therefore,  $\pi^*(\gamma_n)$  is a Thom class for this bundle.

Now suppose we have a vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  and trivializations  $\varphi_U$  and  $\varphi_V$  over  $U$  and  $V$ , with  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any point  $x \in U \cap V$  we have generators  $\iota_x^*(\pi_U^*(\gamma_n))$  and  $\iota_x^*(\pi_V^*(\gamma_n))$ . We will call the trivializations  $U$  and  $V$  *compatible* if these two generators are equal for all such  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *A vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  over a compact CW complex has a Thom class for  $\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients iff there is a trivialization such that all pairs of intersecting sets are compatible.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  has a Thom class, denoted  $t$ . Fix some trivializing cover of  $E$  such that all the open sets are connected. Let  $U \subset B$  be an element of our trivialization. Then  $\iota_U^*(t)$  is a generator of  $H^n(p^{-1}(U), p^{-1}(U) \setminus U) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  (by the relative suspension isomorphism). Note that  $\pi_U^*(\gamma_n)$  is also a generator; we change the trivialization  $\varphi_U$  if necessary (by a sign in one coordinate, say) to ensure that  $\pi_U^*(\gamma_n) \cong \iota_U^*(t)$ . This will ensure compatibility: let  $V \subset B$  be another element of the trivialization and  $x \in U \cap V$ ; we have that  $\iota_x(\pi_U^*(\gamma_n)) = \iota_x(\pi_V^*(\gamma_n)) = \iota_x^*(t)$ .

Conversely, suppose that we have trivializing cover such that all intersecting pairs are compatible. We will use a Mayer-Vietoris sequence to paste together the classes of the form  $\pi_U^*(\gamma_n)$  into a Thom class: given  $U$  and  $V$  in the trivializing cover, we get an exact sequence

$$H^{n-1}(p^{-1}(U \cap V), U \cap V) \rightarrow H^n(p^{-1}(U \cup V), U \cup V) \rightarrow H^n(p^{-1}(U), U) \oplus H^n(p^{-1}(V), V) \rightarrow H^n(p^{-1}(U \cap V), U \cap V)$$

The first object is 0 by the suspension isomorphism, and the last arrow is 0 by compatibility. Therefore, we have an isomorphism

$$H^n(p^{-1}(U \cup V), U \cup V) \cong H^n(p^{-1}(U), U) \oplus H^n(p^{-1}(V), V)$$

So we can paste together the classes as desired. By induction, we obtain a Thom class.  $\square$

This notion of compatibility turns out to be the same as our usual notion of orientation: recall that an orientation for a vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a trivializing cover such that the transition functions  $\varphi_{UV}$  all have positive determinant.

**Lemma 2.3.** *A trivializing cover is an orientation iff all pairs of intersecting open sets are compatible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that we have a trivializing open cover. Then for any intersecting elements  $U$  and  $V$  and  $x \in U \cap V$ , the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & p^{-1}(U) & \longrightarrow & U \times \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \nearrow & & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{UV}(x) \\ p^{-1}(x) & & & & & & \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & p^{-1}(V) & \longrightarrow & V \times \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}$$

Since  $\varphi_{UV}(x)^*$  is the identity if the determinant is positive and negation otherwise, we have that all pairs are compatible iff the trivializing cover determines an orientation.  $\square$

Combining these lemmas gives us the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** *A vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  has a Thom class for singular cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients iff it is orientable.*

In general, we say that a Thom class with respect to  $H^*(-; R)$  is an  $R$ -orientation, and call a bundle possessing such a Thom class “ $R$ -orientable.”

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $B$  be a finite CW-complex. Then any vector bundle over  $B$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  orientable.*

*Proof.* Note that since  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  only has one generator, all trivializations are compatible when working with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients. Thus, any trivializing cover yields a Thom class as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

### 3 The Cohomology of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$

We are now ready to calculate  $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . The tautological vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is a complex vector bundle and therefore oriented; hence it has a Thom class. The total space (less the zero section)  $E^0$  in this case is  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$ , for which the cohomology  $H^k(E^0)$  vanishes in degree  $k < 2n - 1$ . Hence the Gysin sequence tells us that the map  $H^k(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z})$  given by  $c \mapsto c \smile e$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $k < 2n$ , where  $e$  is the Euler class. Consequently, we obtain

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[e]/e^{n+1}$$

Where  $e$  is degree 2. In the infinite case,  $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[e]$ .

### 4 The Cohomology of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$

$H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n)$  will require slightly more care. Again we consider the tautological bundle over  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  - but this is not orientable. However, like any bundle, it is  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  orientable. By the same method as the last section, we obtain that the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[e]/e^{n+1}$ , where  $e$  is degree 1. In the infinite case,  $H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[e]$ .

Now we will use this in order to deduce the the cohomology of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients. For this, we will need to understand the cellular chain complex: there is one cell in each degree, and the attaching map  $S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  is the standard double cover. The composition  $S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cong S^n$  factors through the pinched sphere  $S^n \vee S^n$ , with the induced map being  $id_n \vee A_n$ , where  $A_n$  is the antipodal map. In a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n & \longrightarrow & S^n \\ & \searrow \mu & \text{id}_n \vee A_n & \nearrow & \\ & & S^n \vee S^n & & \end{array}$$

With  $\mu$  being the standard map of the  $h$ -cogroup structure on  $S^n$ . The map  $A_n$  has degree  $(-1)^{n+1}$ , meaning that our resulting cellular complex looks like:

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \dots$$

From which we can calculate the cohomology groups of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ . To calculate the ring structure, note that the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  induces a map of chain complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \end{array}$$

Because the induced map  $H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is injective in all positive degrees (except  $n$ , when  $n$  is odd), the cup product in the latter determines the cup product in the former. For even dimensional projective space, we get

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x, x^{n+1})$$

Where the degree of  $x$  is 2. For odd dimensional, we have to add in another variable for the top degree generator, giving us

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(2x, x^{n+1}, xy, y^2)$$

Where the degree of  $x$  is again 2 and the degree of  $y$  is  $2n + 1$ . In the infinite case,

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x)$$

With  $x$  degree 2.