## Classification of Finite Fields

In these notes we use the properties of the polynomial $x^{p^{d}}-x$ to classify finite fields. The importance of this polynomial is explained by the following basic proposition.

## Proposition 1 Factorization of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ over $\mathbb{F}$

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with $p^{d}$ elements, where $p$ is prime and $d \geq 1$. Then every element of $\mathbb{F}$ is a root of $x^{p^{d}}-x$, and hence

$$
x^{p^{d}}-x=\prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}}(x-a)
$$

PROOF If $a \in \mathbb{F}$, then by Fermat's little theorem for fields $a^{p^{d}}=a$, so $a$ is a root of $x^{p^{d}}-x$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ has $p^{d}$ elements, these are all of the roots of $x^{p^{d}}-x$, and the given factorization follows.

This is a generalization of our previous observation that

$$
x^{p}-x \equiv(x-1)(x-2) \cdots(x-p)(\bmod p)
$$

for any prime $p$. Indeed, this is the special case where $d=1$ (and hence $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ).
Though $x^{p^{d}}-x$ factors into linear factors over $\mathbb{F}$, the same is not true over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Instead, the factorization of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ gives us information about the minimal polynomials for elements of $\mathbb{F}$.

## Proposition 2 Minimal Polynomials for Elements of $\mathbb{F}$

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with $p^{d}$ elements, where $p$ is prime and $d \geq 1$, and let

$$
x^{p^{d}}-x=m_{1}(x) m_{2}(x) \cdots m_{n}(x)
$$

be the factorization of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ into irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$. Then:

1. The minimal polynomial for each element of $\mathbb{F}$ is one of the polynomials $m_{1}(x), m_{2}(x), \ldots, m_{n}(x)$.
2. For each $i$, the number of elements of $\mathbb{F}$ with minimal polynomial $m_{i}(x)$ is equal to the degree of $m_{i}(x)$.

PROOF Since the elements of $\mathbb{F}$ are precisely the roots of $x^{p^{d}}-x$, each $m_{i}(x)$ must have a number of roots in $\mathbb{F}$ equal to its degree. Since $m_{i}(x)$ is irreducible, it must be the minimal polynomial for each of these roots.

EXAMPLE 1 Factors of $x^{9}-x$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$
The polynomial $x^{9}-x$ factors over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ as follows:

$$
x^{9}-x=x(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x-1\right)\left(x^{2}-x-1\right) .
$$

Thus any field with 9 elements must have the elements 0,1 , and -1 as well as two roots of $x^{2}+1$, two roots of $x^{2}+x-1$, and two roots of $x^{2}-x-1$.

EXAMPLE 2 Factors of $x^{16}-x$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$
Over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the polynomial $x^{16}-x$ factors into irreducible polynomials as follows:

$$
x^{16}-x=x(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)
$$

Then any field $\mathbb{F}$ with 16 elements must consist of the following:

- The elements 0 and 1 ,
- Two roots of $x^{2}+x+1$,
- Four roots of $x^{4}+x+1$,
- Four roots of $x^{4}+x^{3}+1$, and
- Four roots of $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$.

In particular, $\mathbb{F}$ must have two elements of degree 1 (the prime subfield), two elements of degree 2 , and twelve elements of degree 4 , which are the generators for $\mathbb{F}$.

As we can see from these examples, Proposition 2 gives quite a lot of information about any finite field. Indeed, we are ready to prove the following part of the classification.

## Theorem 3 Uniqueness of Finite Fields

Any two finite fields with the same number of elements are isomorphic.

PROOF Suppose that $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are two fields with $p^{d}$ elements, where $p$ is prime and $d \geq 1$. Let $a$ be a generator for $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, and recall that $a$ must have degree $d$. By Proposition 2, the minimal polynomial $m(x)$ for $a$ must be an irreducible factor of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$. Then by Proposition 2, there is at least one element $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ whose minimal polynomial is $m(x)$. Then $b$ has degree $d$, so $b$ is a generator for $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, and therefore $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x] /(m(x))$.

Because of this uniqueness theorem, it is common to denote "the" finite field with $p^{d}$ elements as $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$. For example, the finite field with 9 elements is usually denoted $\mathbb{F}_{9}$ (instead of the notation $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[i]$ that we have been using).

## Irreducible Polynomials

All that remains of the classification theorem is to prove that there exists a finite field with $p^{d}$ elements for every prime $p$ and every $d \geq 1$. Equivalently, we must show that for every prime $p$, there exist irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of every possible degree. We will prove this using the following theorem.

## Theorem 4 Factorization of $x^{p^{d}}-x$

Let $p$ be a prime and let $d \geq 1$. Then $x^{p^{d}}-x$ is the product of all irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ whose degree divides $d$.

The proof of this theorem consists of two lemmas.

## Lemma 5 Irreducible Factors of $x^{p^{d}}-x$

Let $p$ be a prime, let $d \geq 1$, and let $m(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of degree $k$. Then

$$
m(x) \mid x^{p^{d}}-x \quad \text { if and only if } \quad k \mid d .
$$

PROOF Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the field $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x] /(m(x))$, and let $a \in \mathbb{F}$ be the residue class of $x$ modulo $m(x)$. Then $m(x)$ is the minimum polynomial for $a$, so $m(x)$ divides $x^{p^{d}}-x$ if and only if $a$ is a root of $x^{p^{d}}-x$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the Frobenius automorphism. Then

$$
\varphi^{d}(a)=a^{p^{d}}
$$

so $a$ is a root of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ if and only if $\varphi^{d}(a)=a$. But $a$ is a generator for $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ has $p^{k}$ elements, so $\varphi^{d}(a)=a$ if and only if $k \mid d$.

## Lemma $6 x^{p^{d}}-x$ is Square-Free

If $p$ is prime and $d \geq 1$, then all of the irreducible factors of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ are distinct.

We give a direct proof of this lemma using fields. Many sources instead use the fact that a polynomial $f(x)$ is square-free if and only if $f(x)$ and its derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ have no common factor. This is fairly obvious for polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$, but it can be proven for polynomials over any field.

PROOF Let $m(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial that divides $x^{p^{d}}-x$. We must prove that $m(x)^{2}$ does not divide $x^{p^{d}}-x$.

Let $k$ be the degree of $m(x)$, and note that $k \mid d$ by the previous lemma. Then

$$
x^{p^{d}}-x=\left(x^{p^{k}}-x\right) g(x)
$$

where $g(x)$ is the polynomial

$$
g(x)=\frac{x^{p^{d}}-x}{x^{p^{k}}-x}=\frac{x^{p^{d}-1}-1}{x^{p^{k}-1}-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} x^{i\left(p^{k}-1\right)}
$$

with $j=\left(p^{d}-1\right) /\left(p^{k}-1\right)$. (Here we have used the formula for the sum of a geometric progression.)

Now consider the field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x] /(m(x))$, whose elements are the roots of the polynomial $x^{p^{k}}-x$. Since $x^{p^{k}}-x$ has no repeated roots over $\mathbb{F}$, it must be divisible by $m(x)$ but not $m(x)^{2}$. As for $g(x)$, let $a$ be an element of $\mathbb{F}$ whose minimal polynomial is $m(x)$. By Fermat's little theorem for fields,

$$
a^{p^{d}-1}=1
$$

and hence

$$
g(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} a^{i\left(p^{k}-1\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 1^{i}=j .
$$

Since $j=\left(p^{d}-1\right) /\left(p^{k}-1\right)$ is not divisible by $p$, we have that $g(a) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}$, and therefore $m(x)$ does not divide $g(x)$. We conclude that $x^{p^{d}}$ is divisible by $m(x)$ but not $m(x)^{2}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4 By Lemma 5, the irreducible factors of $x^{p^{d}}$ are precisely the irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of degree dividing $d$. By Lemma 6 , each of these factors appears exactly once in the irreducible factorization of $x^{p^{d}}-1$.

EXAMPLE 3 Factorization of $x^{25}-x$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$
There are exactly five irreducible linear polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ :

$$
x, \quad x-1, \quad x-2, \quad x-3, \quad x-4 .
$$

There are also ten irreducible quadratics. In particular, since 2 is not a quadratic residue modulo 5 , the polynomials

$$
x^{2}-2, \quad(x-1)^{2}-2, \quad(x-2)^{2}-2, \quad(x-3)^{2}-2, \quad(x-4)^{2}-2
$$

are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$, and since 3 is not a quadratic residue modulo 5 , the polynomials

$$
x^{2}-3, \quad(x-1)^{2}-3, \quad(x-2)^{2}-3, \quad(x-3)^{2}-3, \quad(x-4)^{2}-3
$$

are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$. According to Theorem 4, the product of these fifteen polynomials is $x^{25}-x$.

EXAMPLE 4 Factorization of $x^{81}-x$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$
Since $81=3^{4}$, Theorem 4 tells us that $x^{81}-x$ should be the product in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$ of all irreducible polynomials of degree 1,2 , or 4 . As we have seen, there are three
irreducible linear polynomials and three irreducible quadratic polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, with their product being $x^{9}-x$ :

$$
x^{9}-x=x(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x-1\right)\left(x^{2}-x-1\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{x^{81}-x}{x^{9}-x}=x^{72}+x^{64}+x^{56}+x^{48}+x^{40}+x^{32}+x^{24}+x^{16}+x^{8}+1
$$

should be the product of all irreducible polynomials of degree 4 in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$. Since $72 / 4=18$, there are 18 such polynomials.

It follows from this that the field $\mathbb{F}_{81}$ with 81 elements has 3 elements of degree 1 (the prime subfield), 6 elements of degree 2 , and 72 elements of degree 4 , which are the generators for $\mathbb{F}_{81}$.

One quick corollary to Theorem 4 is the following.

## Corollary 7 Degrees of Elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with $p^{d}$ elements, where $p$ is prime and $d \geq 1$. Then the degree of every element of $\mathbb{F}$ is a divisor of $d$.

PROOF By Theorem 4, every irreducible factor of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ has degree dividing $d$, and by Proposition 2 these are precisely the minimal polynomials for the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$.

Indeed, there is a nice characterization of degrees in terms of the Frobenius automorphism.

## Corollary 8 Degrees and the Frobenius Automorphism

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field, let $a \in \mathbb{F}$, and let $\varphi: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the Frobenius automorphism. Then the degree of $a$ is equal to the smallest positive integer $d$ for which $\varphi^{d}(a)=a$.

PROOF Let $p$ be the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$, and let $m(x)$ be the minimal polynomial for $a$. Then the degree of $a$ is equal to the degree of $m(x)$, which by Theorem 4 is
the smallest value of $d$ for which $m(x) \mid x^{p^{d}}-x$. But $m(x) \mid x^{p^{d}}-x$ if and only if $a$ is a root of $x^{p^{d}}-x$, i.e. if and only if $\varphi^{d}(a)=a$.

We are finally ready to prove the existence of finite fields.

## Theorem 9 Existence of Finite Fields

Let $p$ be a prime and let $d \geq 1$. Then there exists an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of degree d, and hence there exists a finite field with $p^{d}$ elements.

PROOF Suppose to the contrary that there are no irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of degree $d$. Then every irreducible factor of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ must have degree less than $d$, so $x^{p^{d}}-x$ must divide the product

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{d-1}\left(x^{p^{k}}-x\right)
$$

But the degree of this product is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} p^{k}=\frac{p^{d}-1}{p-1}<p^{d}
$$

a contradiction. Thus there is at least one irreducible polynomial of degree $d$.

## Quadratic Reciprocity

As an application of finite fields, we provide a proof of quadratic reciprocity using Gauss sums. Really the only result about finite fields that we need is the following.

## Proposition 10 Existence of Roots of Unity

Let $p$ be a prime, and let $n$ be a positive integer not divisible by $p$. Then there exists exists a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p$ that has an element of order $n$.

PROOF Since $p$ and $n$ are relatively prime, there exists a $d \geq 1$ so that

$$
p^{d} \equiv 1(\bmod n) .
$$

Then $n$ divides $p^{d}-1$, so the field with $p^{d}$ elements has an element of order $n$.

For any prime $q$, let $g_{q}(x)$ be the Gauss polynomial

$$
g_{q}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) x^{k} .
$$

Recall that

$$
g_{q}(\omega)^{2}=\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) q
$$

for any primitive $q$ th root of unity $\omega$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and

$$
g_{q}\left(\omega^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) g_{q}(\omega)
$$

for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$. We wish to prove that $g_{q}(x)$ has the same properties over any field.

## Proposition 11 Gauss Sums Over a Field

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, let $q>2$ be a prime, and let $\omega$ be an element of order $q$ in $\mathbb{F}$. Then

$$
g_{q}(\omega)^{2}=\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) q
$$

in $\mathbb{F}$. Moreover,

$$
g_{q}\left(\omega^{k}\right)=\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) g_{q}(\omega)
$$

in $\mathbb{F}$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$.

PROOF Consider the polynomials

$$
f(x)=g_{q}(x)^{2}-\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) q \quad \text { and } \quad h_{k}(x)=g_{q}\left(x^{k}\right)-\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) g_{q}(x)
$$

where $k \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$. Every primitive $q$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ is a root of $f(x)$ as well as each $h_{k}(x)$, which means that the $q$ th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{q}(x)$ divides $f(x)$ as well as each $h_{k}(x)$.

Now, since $\Phi_{q}(x)$ is monic, the quotients $f(x) / \Phi_{q}(x)$ and $h_{k}(x) / \Phi_{q}(x)$ have integer coefficients. It follows that $\Phi_{q}(x)$ divides $f(x)$ and each $h_{k}(x)$ over any field $\mathbb{F}$. In particular, if $\omega$ is an element of a field $\mathbb{F}$ with order $q$, then $\omega$ must be a root of $\Phi_{q}(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}$, so $f(\omega)=0$ and $h_{k}(\omega)=0$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$.

## Theorem 12 Quadratic Reciprocity

Let $2<p<q$ be primes, and let

$$
q^{*}=\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) q .
$$

Then $q^{*}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$ if and only if $p$ is a quadratic residue modulo $q$.

PROOF Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic $p$ that has an element $\omega$ of order $q$, and let $r=g_{q}(\omega)$. By the previous proposition, $r^{2}=q^{*}$. Then $q^{*}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$ if and only if $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the Frobenius automorphism, and recall that $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ if and only if $\varphi(r)=r$. But

$$
\varphi(r)=\varphi\left(g_{q}(\omega)\right)=g_{q}(\varphi(\omega))=g_{q}\left(\omega^{p}\right)=\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) g_{q}(\omega)=\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) r .
$$

Then $\varphi(r)=r$ if and only if $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=1$, so $q^{*}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$ if and only if $p$ is a quadratic residue modulo $q$.

