# A Descriptive View of Geometric Group Theory

### Simon Thomas

**Rutgers University** 

7th May 2011

୬ ର (୦ ଏ 🗗 । ଏ 🖹 ।

Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival

# Cayley graphs of finitely generated groups

### Definition

Let G be a f.g. group and let  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1_G\}$  be a finite generating set. Then the Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is the graph with vertex set G and edge set

$$E = \{\{x, y\} \mid y = xs \text{ for some } s \in S \cup S^{-1}\}.$$

The corresponding word metric is denoted by  $d_S$ .

For example, when  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{1\}$ , then the corresponding Cayley graph is:



# But which Cayley graph?

However, when  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{2, 3\}$ , then the corresponding Cayley graph is:



### Theorem (S.T.)

There does not exist an *explicit* choice of generators for each f.g. group which has the property that isomorphic groups are assigned isomorphic Cayley graphs.

Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival

## The basic idea of geometric group theory

Although the Cayley graphs of a f.g. group G with respect to different generating sets S are usually nonisomorphic, they always have the same large scale geometry.



う < ? \* @ \* \* 目 \*

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be quasi-isometric, written  $G \approx_{Ql} H$ , if there exist

- constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$
- such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) - C \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) + C;$$

$$d_T(z, \varphi[G]) \leq C.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be Lipschitz equivalent if there exist

- a constant  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$

such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(arphi(x),arphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y);$$

$$d_T(z,\varphi[G])=0.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be Lipschitz equivalent if there exist

- a constant  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$

such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(arphi(x),arphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y);$$

and for all  $z \in H$ ,

 $d_T(z,\varphi[G])=0.$ 

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be Lipschitz equivalent if there exist

- a constant  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$

such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y);$$

$$d_T(z,\varphi[G])=0.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be Lipschitz equivalent if there exist

- a constant  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$

such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(arphi(x),arphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y);$$

$$d_T(z,\varphi[G])=0.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be quasi-isometric, written  $G \approx_{Ql} H$ , if there exist

- constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$
- such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) - C \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) + C;$$

$$d_T(z, \varphi[G]) \leq C.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be quasi-isometric, written  $G \approx_{Ql} H$ , if there exist

- constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$
- such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) - \mathcal{C} \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(arphi(x),arphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) + \mathcal{C};$$

and for all  $z \in H$ ,

 $d_T(z, \varphi[G]) \leq C.$ 

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be quasi-isometric, written  $G \approx_{Ql} H$ , if there exist

- constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$
- such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) - \mathcal{C} \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) + \mathcal{C};$$

$$d_T(z,\varphi[G]) \leq C.$$

Let G, H be f.g. groups with word metrics  $d_S$ ,  $d_T$  respectively. Then G, H are said to be quasi-isometric, written  $G \approx_{Ql} H$ , if there exist

- constants  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $C \geq 0$ , and
- a map  $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{H}$
- such that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{\lambda} d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) - \mathcal{C} \leq d_{\mathcal{T}}(arphi(x),arphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{S}}(x,y) + \mathcal{C};$$

$$d_T(z,\varphi[G]) \leq C.$$

#### Observation

If S, S' are finite generating sets for G, then

$$\mathsf{id}:\langle \mathsf{G},\mathsf{d}_{\mathcal{S}}
angle o \langle \mathsf{G},\mathsf{d}_{\mathcal{S}'}
angle$$

is a quasi-isometry.

Thus while it doesn't make sense to talk about the isomorphism type of "the Cayley graph of *G*", it does make sense to talk about the quasi-isometry type.

### Theorem (Gromov)

If G, H are f.g. groups, then the following are equivalent.

- G and H are quasi-isometric.
- There exists a locally compact space X on which G, H have commuting proper actions via homeomorphisms such that X/G and X/H are both compact.

### Definition

The action of the discrete group *G* on *X* is proper if for every compact subset  $K \subseteq X$ , the set  $\{g \in G \mid g(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.

Two groups  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are said to be virtually isomorphic, written  $G_1 \approx_{VI} G_2$ , if there exist subgroups  $N_i \leq H_i \leq G_i$  such that:

- $[G_1 : H_1], [G_2 : H_2] < \infty.$
- N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> are finite normal subgroups of H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> respectively.
- $H_1/N_1 \cong H_2/N_2$ .

### Proposition (Folklore)

If the f.g. groups  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are virtually isomorphic, then  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are quasi-isometric.

### Observation

If the f.g. groups  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  have isomorphic Cayley graphs with respect to suitable generating sets, then  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are quasi-isometric.

### Example (Erschler)

The f.g. groups Alt(5) wr  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $C_{60}$  wr  $\mathbb{Z}$  have isomorphic Cayley graphs but are not virtually isomorphic.

#### Remark

The quasi-isometry relation is strictly coarser than the transitive closure of the above "obvious quasi-isometries".

### Question

How many f.g. groups up to quasi-isometry?

シ < ? ▲ 🗗 🕨 🛓 🗏

Theorem (Grigorchuk 1984 - Bowditch 1998)

There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  f.g. groups up to quasi-isometry.

### Proof (Grigorchuk).

Consider the growth rate of the size of balls of radius *n* in the Cayley graphs of suitably chosen groups.

### Proof (Bowditch).

Consider the growth rate of the length of "irreducible loops" in the Cayley graphs of suitably chosen groups.

# The complexity of the quasi-isometry relation

#### Question

What are the possible complete invariants for the quasi-isometry problem for f.g. groups?

#### Question

Is the quasi-isometry problem for f.g. groups strictly harder than the isomorphism problem?

うく(? + @ ト + ヨ )

# An explicit reduction

Let S be a fixed infinite f.g. simple group. Then the isomorphism problem for f.g. groups can be reduced to the virtual isomorphism problem via the explicit map

 $G \mapsto (\mathsf{Alt}(5) \text{ wr } G) \text{ wr } S$ 

in the sense that

 $G \cong H \iff (Alt(5) \text{ wr } G) \text{ wr } S \approx_{VI} (Alt(5) \text{ wr } H) \text{ wr } S.$ 

#### Two Open Questions

- Does there exist an explicit reduction from the isomorphism problem to the quasi-isometry problem?
- Does there exist an explicit reduction from the quasi-isometry problem to the isomorphism problem?

### Question

Which functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are explicit?

### An Analogue of Church's Thesis

 $\mathsf{EXPLICIT} = \mathsf{BOREL}$ 

- A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is Borel if graph(f) is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- Equivalently,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is Borel for each Borel subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

- A Polish space is a separable completely metrizable topological space.
- *E.g.*  $\mathbb{R}$ , [0, 1],  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,...

#### Some less obvious examples

- The space of countable graphs
- The space of finitely generated groups
- etc etc

- Let C be the set of graphs of the form  $\Gamma = \langle \mathbb{N}, E \rangle$ .
- Identify each graph  $\Gamma \in C$  with its edge relation  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .
- Next identify *E* with the corresponding characteristic function so that *E* ∈ 2<sup>N×N</sup>.
- Then C is a closed subset of the Polish space 2<sup>N×N</sup> and hence is also a Polish space.
- For later use, note that the isomorphism relation on C is the orbit equivalence relation of the natural action of Sym(ℕ) on C.

- A marked group (G, s̄) consists of a f.g. group with a distinguished sequence s̄ = (s<sub>1</sub>, · · · , s<sub>m</sub>) of generators.
- For each *m* ≥ 1, let *G<sub>m</sub>* be the set of isomorphism types of marked groups (*G*, (*s*<sub>1</sub>, · · · , *s<sub>m</sub>*)) with *m* distinguished generators.
- Then there exists a canonical embedding  $\mathcal{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{m+1}$  defined by

$$(G, (s_1, \cdots, s_m)) \mapsto (G, (s_1, \cdots, s_m, 1_G)).$$

• And  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_m$  is the space of f.g. groups.

# The Polish space of f.g. groups

Let (G, s̄) ∈ G<sub>m</sub> and let d<sub>S</sub> be the corresponding word metric. For each ℓ ≥ 1, let

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell(G,ar{s})=\{g\in G\mid d_S(g,1_G)\leq \ell\}.$$

• The basic open neighborhoods of  $(G, \bar{s})$  in  $\mathcal{G}_m$  are given by

$$U_{(G,\bar{\mathbf{s}}),\ell} = \{ (H,\bar{t}) \in \mathcal{G}_m \mid B_\ell(H,\bar{t}) \cong B_\ell(G,\bar{\mathbf{s}}) \}, \qquad \ell \geq 1.$$

### Example

For each  $n \ge 1$ , let  $C_n = \langle g_n \rangle$  be cyclic or order *n*. Then:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n,g_n)=(\mathbb{Z},1).$$

 $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O} \land \square \land \land$ 

### Some Isolated Points

- Finite groups
- Finitely presented simple groups

## The Next Stage

• 
$$SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$$

### Question (Grigorchuk)

What is the Cantor-Bendixson rank of G?



Let E, F be equivalence relations on the Polish spaces X, Y.

•  $E \leq_B F$  if there exists a Borel map  $\varphi : X \to Y$  such that

$$x E y \iff \varphi(x) F \varphi(y).$$

In this case, f is called a Borel reduction from E to F.

- $E \sim_B F$  if both  $E \leq_B F$  and  $F \leq_B E$ .
- $E <_B F$  if both  $E \leq_B F$  and  $E \not\sim_B F$ .

An equivalence relation E on a Polish space X is Borel if E is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ .

## Some Examples

The following are Borel equivalence relations on the space  $\mathcal{G}$  of finitely generated groups:

- the isomorphism relation  $\cong$
- the virtual isomorphism relation  $\approx_{VI}$
- the quasi-isometry relation ≈<sub>QI</sub>

A Borel equivalence relation E is countable if every E-class is countable.

### Observation

The isomorphism relation  $\cong$  is a countable Borel equivalence relation.

### Proof.

There are only countable many ways to realize a f.g. group *G* as a marked group  $(G, \overline{s})$ .

Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)



Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival

୬ ର ៚ ଏ 🗇 । ଏ 🖹 । 7th May 2011



Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival

୬ ର ៚ ଏ 🗇 । ଏ 🖹 । 7th May 2011



## **Definition (HKL)**

 $E_0$  is the equivalence relation of eventual equality on the space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  of infinite binary sequences.



Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival



## Definition (HKL)

 $E_0$  is the equivalence relation of eventual equality on the space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  of infinite binary sequences.

## Definition (DJK)

A countable Borel equivalence relation E is universal if  $F \leq_B E$  for every countable Borel equivalence relation F.

Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)



## Definition (HKL)

 $E_0$  is the equivalence relation of eventual equality on the space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  of infinite binary sequences.

## Definition (DJK)

A countable Borel equivalence relation E is universal if  $F \leq_B E$  for every countable Borel equivalence relation F.

### Question

Where does  $\cong$  fit in?

シ∢ (? ▲ 🗇 ▶ ▲ 🗎 ▶



Confirming a conjecture of Hjorth-Kechris ...

## Theorem (S.T.-Velickovic)

 $\cong$  is a universal countable Borel equivalence relation.

୬ ର (୦ ଏ 🗗 । ଏ 🖹 ।

The f.g. groups  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are virtually isomorphic, written  $G_1 \approx_{VI} G_2$ , if there exist subgroups  $N_i \leq H_i \leq G_i$  such that:

- $[G_1 : H_1], [G_2 : H_2] < \infty.$
- N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> are finite normal subgroups of H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> respectively.
- $H_1/N_1 \cong H_2/N_2$ .

### Theorem (S.T.)

The virtual isomorphism problem for f.g. groups is strictly harder than the isomorphism problem.

# A canonical obstruction

## Definition

 $E_1$  is the Borel equivalence relation on  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined by

 $x E_1 y \iff x(n) = y(n)$  for almost all n.

#### Theorem (Kechris-Louveau)

- If G is a Polish group and X is a Borel G-space, then  $E_1 \not\leq_B E_G^X$ .
- In particular, E<sub>1</sub> doesn't reduce to the isomorphism relation on any class of countable structures.

### Notation

Here  $E_G^X$  is the corresponding orbit equivalence relation.

## Theorem (S.T.)

 $E_1 <_B \approx_{VI}$ .

### Observation

The abstract commensurability relation  $\approx_C$  on the space  $\mathcal{G}$  of f.g. groups is countable Borel. And hence  $\approx_C$  is Borel reducible to  $\cong$ .

#### **Open Problem**

Find a "group-theoretic" reduction from  $\approx_C$  to  $\cong$ .

### Theorem (S.T.)

There does not exist a Borel reduction  $\varphi$  from  $\approx_C$  to  $\cong$  such that  $\varphi(G) \approx_C G$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

### Conjecture

There does not exist a continuous reduction from  $\approx_C$  to  $\cong$ .

The equivalence relation *E* on the Polish space *X* is  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$  if *E* is the union of countably many compact subsets of *X* × *X*.

### Example

The following are  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$  equivalence relations on the space  $\mathcal{G}$  of finitely generated groups:

- the isomorphism relation  $\cong$
- the virtual isomorphism relation  $\approx_{VI}$
- the quasi-isometry relation  $\approx_{QI}$

# Why is the quasi-isometry relation $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ ?

- It is enough to show that each  $\approx_{QI} \upharpoonright \mathcal{G}_m$  is  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ .
- For each  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $C \geq 0$ , let  $R_{\lambda,C}$  consist of the pairs

 $((G, \overline{s}), (H, \overline{t})) \in \mathcal{G}_m \times \mathcal{G}_m$ 

such that there exists a  $(\lambda, C)$ -quasi-isometry  $\varphi : G \to H$ .

- If ((G, s), (H, t)) ∉ R<sub>λ,C</sub>, then there exists an obstruction in some balls B<sub>ℓ</sub>(G, s), B<sub>λℓ+C</sub>(H, t) for some ℓ ≥ 1.
- Thus  $R_{\lambda,C}$  is a closed subset of the compact  $\mathcal{G}_m \times \mathcal{G}_m$ .

### Theorem (Rosendal)

Let  $E_{K_{\sigma}}$  be the equivalence relation on  $\prod_{n\geq 1}\{1,\ldots,n\}$  defined by

$$\alpha E_{\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}} \beta \Longleftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{k} \ |\alpha(\mathbf{k}) - \beta(\mathbf{k})| \leq \mathbf{N}.$$

Then  $E_{\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}}$  is a universal  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$  equivalence relation.

### Theorem (Rosendal)

The Lipschitz equivalence relation on the space of compact separable metric spaces is Borel bireducible with  $E_{K_{\sigma}}$ .

### Theorem (S.T.)

The following equivalence relations are Borel bireducible with  $E_{K_{\sigma}}$ 

- the growth rate relation on the space of strictly increasing functions f : N → N;
- the quasi-isometry relation on the space of connected 4-regular graphs.

### Definition

The strictly increasing functions  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  have the same growth rate, written  $f \equiv g$ , if there exists an integer  $t \ge 1$  such that

- $f(n) \leq g(tn)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and
- $g(n) \leq f(tn)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

# The quasi-isometry vs. virtual isomorphism problems

### The Main Conjecture

The quasi-isometry problem for f.g. groups is universal  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ .

### Theorem (S.T.)

The virtual isomorphism problem for f.g. groups is not universal  $K_{\sigma}$ .

#### Corollary

The virtual isomorphism problem for f.g. groups is strictly easier than the quasi-isometry relation for connected 4-regular graphs.

Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival

クへで∢ / / ♪ ▲ ミ → 7th May 2011





Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)

49th Cornell Topology Festival



Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)



Simon Thomas (Rutgers University)